From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	AMINING AUTHORITY			
To: JORDAN A. SIGALE SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL P.O. BOX 061080		PCT		
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		WRITTEN OPINION		
		(PCT Rule 66)		
		Date of Mailing 10 NOV 2003		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE		
   09741620-0379		within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing		
International application No.	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US03/00740	10 January 2003 (10.01.2	2003)	11 January 2002 (11.01.2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classificati	ion and IPC		
IPC(7): A47J 37/00, 37/06 and US Cl.:	219/438.386,404,442; 99/	333		
Applicant				
SALTON, INC.				
This written opinion is the fir	rst (first, etc.) drawn by t	his International Pro	eliminary Examining Authority.	
<ol><li>This opinion contains indicate</li></ol>	ions relating to the following	ng items:		
I Basis of the opini	on.			
	Oli			
II Priority				
III Non-establishmer	nt of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability	
Lack of unity of invention				
	ent under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) stanations supporting such stanations		lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
Certain document				
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application				
3. The applicant is hereby invit	ted to reply to this opinion	ı.		
When? See the time		applicant may, bef	ore the expiration of that time limit, request	
How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 60 For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.		riate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. es 66.8 and 66.9.		
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.  For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.		or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.		
	mal communication with th			
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.  4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 11 May 2004 (11.05.2004)				
		11 17.2 is. 11 171dy		
Name and mailing address of the IPE. Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US	A/U3	Authorized officer J. Thereby		
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450		Joseph M Pelham		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230		Telephone No. 703-308-0861		

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)





1	International a ation No.
	PCT/US03/00740
ų	

I.	Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:*
	the international application as originally filed the description: pages 1-10, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	the claims:  pages 11 and 12 , as originally filed  pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19  pages NONE , filed with the demand  pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	the drawings:  pages 1-23, as originally filed  pages NONE, filed with the demand  pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	the sequence listing part of the description:  pages NONE as originally filed  pages NONE, filed with the demand  pages NONE, filed with the letter of
<u>-</u> .	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the anguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following languagewhich is
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).  the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written point on the basis of the sequence listing:
	contained in the international application in printed form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
5.	the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. NONE the drawings, sheets/fig NONE This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	eplacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to opinion as "originally filed."

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box I) (July 1998)



International application No. PCT/US03/00740

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. STATEMENT Novelty (N) Claims 1-12 Claims NONE Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-12 YES Claims NONE \_NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-12 YES Claims NONE NO 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-12 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a roaster as claimed, including specifically an upper pan heating element with thermostat and upper side wall, and a lower pan heating element6 with thermostat and lower side wall. Claims 1-12 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry. ------ NEW CITATIONS -----

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box V) (July 1998)



## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US03/00740

## VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 2-4 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claim 2 is indefinite for the following reason(s): in the last line of claim 2, "upper" should evidently instead be "lower".

WR	ITTEN	OPIN	IION

International application No. PCT/US03/00740

	Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)				
	TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.				
:					

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Supplemental Box) (July 1998)